## Request for Offers (RFO) Addendum

RFO Number: RFO0131
Addendum Number: 1
Date of Addendum: 5/10/2016

Original Posting Due Date, Time: 05/13/2016, 4:00 PM

Revised Due Date, Time: N/A

Title: Third-Party Security Assessment - Minnesota Eligibility Technology System (METS)

## SCOPE OF ADDENDUM

The following are changes to the RFO: Posting questions received and answers to the questions. Q1) Would the state consider extending the due date one week to 5/20, which will allow vendors more time to develop a proposal in response to this RFO?

A1) No, the State is no willing to extend the due date.

Q2) How many policies will need to be reviewed?

A2) The State of Minnesota has a comprehensive policy and standard framework that aligns with NIST. However, the primary focus of this engagement will be to assess compliance with the MARS-E (Minimum Acceptable Risk Standard for Exchanges) controls, version 2.0.

Q3) Does a METS System Security Plan exist?

A3) Yes

Q4) Does a METS Information System Risk Assessment exist?

A4) Yes

Q5) Does a Business Continuity Plan for METS exist?

A5) Yes

Q6) How many WLAN/VLANs are included within scope of the project?

A6) Less than 20

Q7) How many IP addresses are relevant to this scope?

A7) Less than 300

Q8) How many servers and devices are included?

A8) Less than 300

Q9) Will the State consider substituting SITE liability version 4 for the version included in this document? If not, will the State consider responses from vendors that take exception to that term in their response? A9) No, the State will not change the liability language on this request. The work order will be written with Version 2 liability language as is indicated in the RFO – this cannot be changed during any negotiations.

Q10) Under the heading "Responsibilities Expected of the Selected Vendor", item a. states that the "vendor is expected to work with the State staff throughout the assessment and be onsite". Does the State mean that all activities related to the assessment will be done onsite?

A10) No, certain functions such as compiling results can be completed off-site.

Q11) The RFP includes a timeline that allows for 6 weeks from project start to the submission of the final report. What is driving those deadlines and is the state open to extending the timeline for project completion?

SITE RFO Addendum

Rev. 3/16

A11) The timelines are driven by work that must be completed to meet federal requirements but there is a small possibility for minor adjustments to the schedule.

This addendum shall become part of the RFO and should be returned with, or acknowledged in, the response to the RFO.

response to the rti o.		
RESPONDER NAME:		
SIGNATURE:		
TITLE:		
DATE:		